

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 20 October 2020 12:54 PM
To: 'Info (OOG)' <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>
Cc: 'Solihin Millin' <solmillin@gmail.com>; 'Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au' <Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au>; 'alan@skynews.com.au' <alan@skynews.com.au>; 'tracey.matters@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au' <tracey.matters@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; 'contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>
Subject: CALL FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A ROYAL COMMISSION DUE TO MATERIAL UNCOVERED BY QUARANTINE INQUIRY & THE INQUIRY'S FAILINGS

TO: [Governor in Council the Hon. Linda Dessau AC.](#)

CC: The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.

Governor Linda Dessau, today's imminent 20th October 2020 2pm announcement by Jennifer Coate AO, to examine gravest matters arising; have now case most solemn and serious doubt on the Inquiry's judicial integrity.

Most of these revelations arise from the insightful and new damning exposé by political journalist Peta Credlin, whose work now puts damning material evidence before you that requires your immediate investigation into the Inquiry's integrity, see breaking evidence <https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=980613779106952>

It is clear to ALL Victorians, this Board Of Inquiry has now failed it's Order In Council and Terms Of Reference, which are now shown as inadequate; as its work has now uncovered potential massive breaches of its governance you oversee on our behalf.

Accordingly, Jennifer Coate now MUST now abandon this inquiry & you must Establish a [Royal Commission by letters patent under Vic. INQUIRIES ACT 2014 - SECT 5](#), now that evidence has shown the following potential of perjury and misfeasance or subverting the course of justice or appearance or apprehension of bias and False and Misleading information which seems may have occurred.

POTENTIAL CRIMINAL ACTS:

- Prima facie CHO Sutton may now have been shown to have perjured himself on private security knowledge
- Prima facie Minister Pakula Minister may now have been shown to have perjured himself on private security knowledge
- Prima facie Emergency Services Crisp may now have been shown to have perjured himself on private security knowledge
- Prima facie DPC Eccles may now have been shown to have perjured himself on private security knowledge
- Prima facie Premier Andrews may now have been shown to have perjured himself on private security knowledge
- Prima facie Appearance of Bias by Quarantine Inquiry Senior Counsel Tony Neal who submits 'ACCORDING TO THE MELBOURNE AGE REPORT' QUOTE: ["there's no evidence of bad faith or corruption" in Victoria's governance. On the contrary, everyone had done their best"](#) ENDQUOTE! Such a remark by an Inquiry Officer potentially prejudices the outcome of this parliamentary commissioned Board Of Inquiry.

This entire fiasco is underpinned by the FACT there was NEVER a pandemic & Never a virulent death risk (as [The Contagion Myth shows](#)) and that the Ultra Vires overreach has killed and financially and societally destroyed on an unprecedented mass-scale.

You as our [Governor \(Crown in right of Victoria\)](#), and the Inquiry itself and the parliament are ALL guided by our Inquiries Act, which sets out ALL potential Inquiries types, including a Royal Commission and [the current Board Of Inquiry under SECT 1 of the INQUIRIES ACT 2014](#); that has now demonstrably failed both its Order In Council and Terms Of Reference.

So this inquiry MUST be now abandoned and a Royal Commission established, because the evidence of this inquiry has produced prima facie perjury and misfeasance or subverting the course of justice or appearance or apprehension of bias as well as potential of criminal false and misleading information.

The plethora of potential criminality now uncovered, to a reasonable observer, demonstrates the arch-villain who is at the seat of the mayhem may well be CHO Sutton; because it appears he has NEVER produced ANY written evidence whatsoever a PANDEMIC existed OR a catastrophic death-risk existed; this is at the crux of the fraud and catastrophic harms being perpetrated upon ALL Victorians.

In addition, Premier Andrews MUST also be deeply examined for this CONTAGION FRAUD, because HE fathered ALL the ultra vires destructive measures, and it appears having done this WITH NO WRITTEN EVIDENCE from Sutton of a Pandemic or Catastrophic death risk.

Therefore, he potentially has ALSO committed inter alia, False and Misleading Information CRIMINAL ACTS.

As our Governor, it is incumbent of you on our behalf, to immediately confer with Jennifer Coate and the Premier and abandon this Inquiry that has potentially shown criminal collusion and misfeasance and for you to now formally establish a Royal Commission with widest and deepest judicial powers to uncover facts, truth, law and prima facie violations and criminality having taken place outside and inside this failed Inquiry by a wide range of actors involved.

Glenn Floyd

Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:

<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Friday, 16 October 2020 7:44 PM

To: 'tracey.matters@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au' <tracey.matters@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; 'contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>

Cc: 'Solihin Millin' <solmillin@gmail.com>; 'Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au'

<Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au>; 'alan@skynews.com.au' <alan@skynews.com.au>; 'Info (OOG)' <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>

Subject: RE: OFFICIAL: Correspondence with the Office of the Governor

TO: The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.

CC: [Governor in Council the Hon. Linda Dessau AC.](#)

I note that the Inquiry will now be recalled 20th October 2020 to examine matters arising that are casting doubt on the Inquiry's judicial integrity.

As previously submitted, there are gravest matters not addressed and newly arising, which if not fully examined; directly indicate serious issues of misfeasance or perjury or subverting the course of justice or appearance or apprehension of bias; has occurred.

Accordingly, I Glenn floyd senate candidate, call on our judicial Board of Inquiry to investigate the following most serious matters now having arisen that prima facie; if not fully examined now, cast grave doubts on our inquiry's legitimacy to continue.

I again submit the following questions (noy yet addressed) to the Inquiry be asked of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton et al, by council assisting, and for them to be fully addressed by our inquiry as follows:

RE: TWO PUBLISHED OFFICIAL TERMS OF REFERENCE : MY TWO QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED ARE:

1. decisions and actions of government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health evidence-backed' decision & advice to Premier Andrews office that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?
2. communication between government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health communication between Premier Andrews office and all government agencies that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?

THIS NOT A WISH-LIST, THESE TWO VITAL MATTERS MUST BE INQUIRED OF SPECIFICALLY 'BECAUSE' BOTH ARE IN THE TWO PUBLISHED OFFICIAL TERMS OF REFERENCE & MUST BE ADDRESSED.

The entire government response to this alleged pandemic (including quarantine), is medically and legally; premised upon BOTH a catastrophic-risk factually existing, and indeed, a pandemic factually existing, with hard evidence supporting this health-epidemiology premise.

If 'neither' catastrophic risk is/was existing, and/or indeed, a pandemic is/was factually existing, ALL government responses, (including quarantine); are ultra vires destructive government overreach.

Because the quarantine (and all) response impacts (not the virus impacts), are clearly so catastrophic; the fact of whether a catastrophic-risk was/is existing, and indeed, a pandemic was/is existing; is the sole question of fundamental relevance to quarantine-collapse and ALL government responses.

This fact of whether catastrophic-risk and indeed, a pandemic existed, MUST be asked by your inquiry and answered by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton, so as the course of justice cannot be perverted.

Such a premise (of catastrophic-risk and a pandemic existing; or NOT existing) MUST be determined in fact, and is the SOLE province and responsibility of, the Chief Health Officer

Brett Sutton. It is a medical-epidemiological health decision only he has expertise in, and responsibility for; premier Daniel Andrews has neither.

The 'RESPONSE' decisions of premier Andrews, or the scope or impact of those decisions are not as critically material as the fundamental fact of catastrophic risk or pandemic existence; such 'response' decisions are always subjective to the authority of the position of premier; and being subjective; are moot.

However, of critical public interest to quarantine need, management, adequacy (and other responses), is the OFFICIAL ASESMENT OF RISK and PANDEMIC SEVERITY definition decisions. And the communications of those decisions, by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton, to the Premier and by the CHO to and between all government agencies.

Specifically, his assessment decision & advice to Premier Andrews and ALL government agencies; that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic factually exists.

If the globally acknowledged EVIDENCE criteria for the existence of epidemiological-health catastrophic-risk existence, and/or indeed, a pandemic existence are not met; ALL agencies have been given False and Misleading Information by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton.

THEREFORE A SUBSTANTIVE CASE EXISTS THAT THE CHIEF HEALTH OFFICER IS GUILTY OF CRIMINAL BREACHES OF OUR CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995, OF ISSUING FALSE & MISLEADING INFORMATION: Criminal Code Act 1995 Division 137—False or misleading information or documents: **Penalty: Imprisonment for 12 months**
<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017C00235>

137.1 False or misleading information

(1) A person commits an offence if:

(a) the person gives information to another person; and

(b) the person does so knowing that the information:

(i) is false or misleading; or

(ii) omits any matter or thing without which the information is

misleading; and...

On ALL available international evidence to date, there was and still is, no evidence of ANY catastrophic-risk existing, and/or indeed, of no pandemic existing.

The SOLE globally acknowledged fundamental criteria of ANY/ALL epidemiological-health catastrophic-risk existing, and/or indeed, a pandemic existing, must be provided ONLY by the Chief Health Officer and are as follows:

'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale existence of, or exponential growth of catastrophic-risk, and indeed, a pandemic in ALL categories of the following,

- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale actual pathogen existence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of pathogens
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of contagion
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of virulence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of infections
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of deaths
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential decline in recoveries

This is true for ANY epidemiological-health risk and/or pandemic now, and particularly truer in January-March 2020, when this 'alleged' risk and pandemic was merely inferred, AND THEN AND NOW THERE WAS/IS:

- no mass-pathogen existing,
- no exponential growth of mass-contagions,
- no exponential growth of mass-virulence,
- no exponential growth of mass-infections,
- no exponential growth of mass-deaths,
- no exponential mass-decline in recoveries recorded at all.

Both then AND NOW, ALL globally acknowledged epidemiological criteria for catastrophic-risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic existing have never been met; and are still not met.

Furthermore, three globally acknowledged Gold Standard scientific tests needed to establish that Covid-19 actually exists or it is at a pandemic level; demonstrably fail, they are:

1. OUR [Federal Health Department COVID-19 'Comorbidities' Reports \(page 14\)](#) clearly states that TOTAL COVID-19 DEATHS are 'only' 9%. This means the 29 August 2020 COVID-19 deaths are 'not' 600; BUT ONLY 54 !! Only 54 deaths in a population of 25 million CANNOT be certified as a pandemic. THIS IS AN ATOM-SIZED 0.0002% or TWO TEN-THOUSANDTHS OF 1%.

2. The acknowledged global Gold Standard science-test for 'ALL' disease pathogens is Koch's Postulates Test, and it CANNOT detect Covid at all ! https://ruqqus.com/post/1zr2/covid-fails-kochs-postulatesfbclid=IwAR2WO2mvB1PtL_7weLZEjXOFd20PGFuEJlayt5mD2l_oXwys_Almck_tS2k. The SARS-CoV-2 virion IS detected by Koch's Postulates Test, however, Covid-19 CANNOT BE DETECTED BY KOCH'S POSTULATES TEST & THIS TEST SCIENTIFICALLY PROVES COVID-19 DOES NOT EXIST!

3. The PCR Test (Polymerase Chain Reaction) test is NOT licensed to be used for Infectious Diseases testing at all and it's Nobel Prize inventor Kary Mullis states it should NOT be used for testing for Infectious Diseases because it always shows 98% FALSE RESULTS!! <https://bpa-pathology.com/covid19-pcr-tests-are-scientifically-meaningless/?fbclid=IwAR0bcPrWkGQoKqVXY8eogk92r9LWJIn8NmjNdOEFdy3A-iQvchKZpY2G6lo>. This demonstrably proves Covid-19 CANNOT be scientifically shown to exist as an infection IN ANYBODY.

Further evidence of non-existence of catastrophic-risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic not existing are that the national Black Lives Matter protests where 97,000 Australians standing shoulder to shoulder revealed NO INFECTION SPIKE AND NO DEATHS!

Furthermore, the total hotel quarantined people in Victoria AND the 40 contract security staff who contracted Covid-19 hired to control them; yielded NO DEATHS at all!

Such a CONCENTRATED Covid-19 risk exposure, yielding NO DEATHS proved beyond ANY DOUBT, there is no catastrophic risk, no virulence, no deaths, no decline in recovery and no pandemic.

There are ONLY two Australian government cited bases for an 'alleged' catastrophic risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic existing; both are merely opinion; neither are evidence based:

1. Chief Health Officer's merely assert "In March 2020 the World Health Organisation (W.H.O.) declared COVID-19 a pandemic". This is irrelevant; this is an EXTERNAL to Australia statement only, it is merely a 'declaration' of pandemic-existence, not based on any evidence or epidemiological impacts at all and immaterial in fact and at law.

2. The [Biosecurity \(Human Biosecurity Emergency\) \(Human Coronavirus with Pandemic Potential\) Declaration 2020](#). This unequivocally states: QUOTE: “with **Pandemic Potential**”: ENDQUOTE. This is NOT a declaration of a pandemic; it is merely citing a Human Coronavirus with Pandemic ‘**potential**’ exists; which on ALL evidence shown on every globally acknowledged epidemiological-health criteria measure (above); DID NOT arise!

In summary:

The Chief Health Officer MUST be asked to provide to your inquiry:

- Precisely what was the EXACT [evidence decision & advice](#) he gave to Premier Andrews that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed
- Precisely what was the EXACT [communication between government agencies](#) he gave to Premier Andrews and ALL government that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed

If the Chief Medical Officer’s answers provide no hard evidence of his decisions and advice and communication to Department of Premier and to and between government agencies in the following acknowledged epidemiological-health categories;

‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale existence of, or exponential growth of catastrophic-risk, and indeed, a pandemic in ALL categories of the following,

- ‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale actual pathogen existence
- ‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale exponential growth of pathogens
- ‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale exponential growth of contagion
- ‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale exponential growth of virulence
- ‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale exponential growth of infections
- ‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale exponential growth of deaths
- ‘Hard-evidence’ of Mass-scale exponential decline in recoveries,

- the Chief Health Officer MUST be charged with providing False and Misleading Information of both Omission and Commission:

I therefore request council assisting, to inquire of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton Specifically:

- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health [evidence decision & advice](#) he gave to Premier Andrews that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed
- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health [communication between government agencies](#) he gave to Premier Andrews and ALL government that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed.

And if no such epidemiological-health [evidence decision & advice](#) that a a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed or was [communicated between government agencies](#), Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton must be recommended to face charges of providing False and Misleading Information.

Glenn Floyd

Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:

<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Friday, 16 October 2020 7:27 PM

To: 'Info (OOG)' <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>

Cc: 'tracey.matters@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au' <tracey.matters@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; 'contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; 'Solihin Millin' <solmillin@gmail.com>; 'Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au' <Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au>; 'alan@skynews.com.au' <alan@skynews.com.au>

Subject: RE: OFFICIAL: Correspondence with the Office of the Governor

TO: [Governor in Council the Hon. Linda Dessau AC.](#)

CC: The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.

Thank you, HOWEVER; this matter is NOT as you say “concern about the actions or policies of the Government in general or the Premier in particular. “

This is not a political matter at all; it is a judicial matter under the auspices of my Governor, who issued my Order in Council under section 53(1) of the Inquiries Act 2014, which binds BOTH Jennifer Coate AO AND the Governor to ensure no misfeasance or subverting the course of justice or appearance or apprehension of bias occurs.

The conduct or misconduct of this Inquiry under the remit of the Governor’s Order in Council, if it runs off the rails under her Order and Terms Of Reference; she MUST intervene.

This is a matter about the conduct of the Quarantine Inquiry itself, and developments have arisen now that directly indicate serious issues of misfeasance or perjury or subverting the course of justice or appearance or apprehension of bias; has occurred.

I note the Inquiry will be now reconvened Tuesday 20th October 2020 because of the Inquiry’s integrity being universally questioned and hopefully will now address the most critical point raised (under) in my submissions to the Inquiry Viz.

A. The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate is to immediately recall ALL parties (cited under) and any others, and extend this inquiry to carry out its legitimate continuing and deeper investigatory obligations (over matters raised) as appointed to do by you as our Governor in Council, or;

In addition, as cited; there are two pressing matters strictly UNADDRESSED also, that MUST be addressed to ensure an appearance of bias and apprehension of bias exist with the management of the inquiry my Governor commissioned:

I again will submit the following questions to the Inquiry be asked of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton et al, by council assisting, and for them to be fully addressed by our inquiry as follows:

RE: TWO PUBLISHED OFFICIAL TERMS OF REFERENCE : MY QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED ARE:

- decisions and actions of government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health evidence-backed' decision & advice to Premier Andrews office that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?
- communication between government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health communication between Premier Andrews office and all government agencies that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?

THIS NOT A WISH-LIST, THESE TWO VITAL MATTERS MUST BE INQUIRED OF SPECIFICALLY 'BECAUSE' BOTH ARE IN THE TWO PUBLISHED OFFICIAL TERMS OF REFERENCE & MUST BE ADDRESSED.

The entire government response to this alleged pandemic (including quarantine), is medically and legally; premised upon BOTH a catastrophic-risk factually existing, and indeed, a pandemic factually existing, with hard evidence supporting this health-epidemiology premise.

If 'neither' catastrophic risk is/was existing, and/or indeed, a pandemic is/was factually existing, ALL government responses, (including quarantine); are ultra vires destructive government overreach.

Because the quarantine (and all) response impacts (not the virus impacts), are clearly so catastrophic; the fact of whether a catastrophic-risk was/is existing, and indeed, a pandemic was/is existing; is the sole question of fundamental relevance to quarantine-collapse and ALL government responses.

This fact of whether catastrophic-risk and indeed, a pandemic existed, MUST be asked by your inquiry and answered by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton, so as the course of justice cannot be perverted.

Such a premise (of catastrophic-risk and a pandemic existing; or NOT existing) MUST be determined in fact, and is the SOLE province and responsibility of, the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton. It is a medical-epidemiological health decision only he has expertise in, and responsibility for; premier Daniel Andrews has neither.

The 'RESPONSE' decisions of premier Andrews, or the scope or impact of those decisions are not as critically material as the fundamental fact of catastrophic risk or pandemic existence; such 'response' decisions are always subjective to the authority of the position of premier; and being subjective; are moot.

However, of critical public interest to quarantine need, management, adequacy (and other responses), is the OFFICIAL ASESMENT OF RISK and PANDEMIC SEVERITY definition decisions. And the communications of those decisions, by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton, to the Premier and by the CHO to and between all government agencies.

Specifically, his assessment decision & advice to Premier Andrews and ALL government agencies; that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic factually exists.

If the globally acknowledged EVIDENCE criteria for the existence of epidemiological-health catastrophic-risk existence, and/or indeed, a pandemic existence are not met; ALL agencies have been given False and Misleading Information by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton.

THEREFORE A SUBSTANTIVE CASE EXISTS THAT THE CHIEF HEALTH OFFICER IS GUILTY OF CRIMINAL BREACHES OF OUR CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995, OF ISSUING

FALSE & MISLEADING INFORMATION: Criminal Code Act 1995 Division 137—False or misleading information or documents: **Penalty: Imprisonment for 12 months**
<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017C00235>

137.1 False or misleading information

(1) A person commits an offence if:

(a) the person gives information to another person; and

(b) the person does so knowing that the information:

(i) is false or misleading; or

(ii) omits any matter or thing without which the information is

misleading; and...

On ALL available international evidence to date, there was and still is, no evidence of ANY catastrophic-risk existing, and/or indeed, of no pandemic existing.

The SOLE globally acknowledged fundamental criteria of ANY/ALL epidemiological-health catastrophic-risk existing, and/or indeed, a pandemic existing, must be provided ONLY by the Chief Health Officer and are as follows:

'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale existence of, or exponential growth of catastrophic-risk, and indeed, a pandemic in ALL categories of the following,

- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale actual pathogen existence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of pathogens
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of contagion
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of virulence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of infections
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of deaths
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential decline in recoveries

This is true for ANY epidemiological-health risk and/or pandemic now, and particularly truer in January-March 2020, when this 'alleged' risk and pandemic was merely inferred, AND THEN AND NOW THERE WAS/IS:

- no mass-pathogen existing,
- no exponential growth of mass-contagions,
- no exponential growth of mass-virulence,
- no exponential growth of mass-infections,
- no exponential growth of mass-deaths,
- no exponential mass-decline in recoveries recorded at all.

Both then AND NOW, ALL globally acknowledged epidemiological criteria for catastrophic-risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic existing have never been met; and are still not met.

Furthermore, three globally acknowledged Gold Standard scientific tests needed to establish that Covid-19 actually exists or it is at a pandemic level; demonstrably fail, they are:

1. OUR [Federal Health Department COVID-19 'Comorbidities' Reports \(page 14\)](#) clearly states that TOTAL COVID-19 DEATHS are 'only' 9%. This means the 29 August 2020 COVID-19 deaths are 'not' 600; BUT ONLY 54 !! Only 54 deaths in a population of 25 million CANNOT be certified as a pandemic. THIS IS AN ATOM-SIZED 0.0002% or TWO TEN-THOUSANDTHS OF 1%.

2. The acknowledged global Gold Standard science-test for 'ALL' disease pathogens is Koch's Postulates Test, and it CANNOT detect Covid at all !

<https://ruqqus.com/post/1zr2/covid-fails-kochs->

[postulatesfbclid=IwAR2WO2mvB1PtL_7weLZEjXOFd20PGFuEJJayt5mD2l_oXwys_Almck_tS2k](https://bpa-pathology.com/covid19-pcr-tests-are-scientifically-meaningless/?fbclid=IwAR0bcPrWkGQoKqVXY8eogk92r9LWJIn8NmjNdOEFdy3A-iQvchKZpY2G6lo). The SARS-CoV-2 virion IS detected by Koch's Postulates Test, however, Covid-19 CANNOT BE DETECTED BY KOCH'S POSTULATES TEST & THIS TEST SCIENTIFICALLY PROVES COVID-19 DOES NOT EXIST!

3. The PCR Test (Polymerase Chain Reaction) test is NOT licensed to be used for Infectious Diseases testing at all and it's Nobel Prize inventor Kary Mullis states it should NOT be used for testing for Infectious Diseases because it always shows 98% FALSE RESULTS!! <https://bpa-pathology.com/covid19-pcr-tests-are-scientifically-meaningless/?fbclid=IwAR0bcPrWkGQoKqVXY8eogk92r9LWJIn8NmjNdOEFdy3A-iQvchKZpY2G6lo>. This demonstrably proves Covid-19 CANNOT be scientifically shown to exist as an infection IN ANYBODY.

Further evidence of non-existence of catastrophic-risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic not existing are that the national Black Lives Matter protests where 97,000 Australians standing shoulder to shoulder revealed NO INFECTION SPIKE AND NO DEATHS!

Furthermore, the total hotel quarantined people in Victoria AND the 40 contract security staff who contracted Covid-19 hired to control them; yielded NO DEATHS at all!

Such a CONCENTRATED Covid-19 risk exposure, yielding NO DEATHS proved beyond ANY DOUBT, there is no catastrophic risk, no virulence, no deaths, no decline in recovery and no pandemic.

There are ONLY two Australian government cited bases for an 'alleged' catastrophic risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic existing; both are merely opinion; neither are evidence based:

1. Chief Health Officer's merely assert "In March 2020 the World Health Organisation (W.H.O.) declared COVID-19 a pandemic". This is irrelevant; this is an EXTERNAL to Australia statement only, it is merely a 'declaration' of pandemic-existence, not based on any evidence or epidemiological impacts at all and immaterial in fact and at law.

2. The [Biosecurity \(Human Biosecurity Emergency\) \(Human Coronavirus with Pandemic Potential\) Declaration 2020](#). This unequivocally states: QUOTE: "with **Pandemic Potential**": ENDQUOTE. This is NOT a declaration of a pandemic; it is merely citing a Human Coronavirus with Pandemic '**potential**' exists; which on ALL evidence shown on every globally acknowledged epidemiological-health criteria measure (above); DID NOT arise!

In summary:

The Chief Health Officer MUST be asked to provide to your inquiry:

- Precisely what was the EXACT evidence decision & advice he gave to Premier Andrews that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed
- Precisely what was the EXACT communication between government agencies he gave to Premier Andrews and ALL government that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed

If the Chief Medical Officer's answers provide no hard evidence of his decisions and advice and communication to Department of Premier and to and between government agencies in the following acknowledged epidemiological-health categories;

'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale existence of, or exponential growth of catastrophic-risk, and indeed, a pandemic in ALL categories of the following,

- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale actual pathogen existence
 - 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of pathogens
 - 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of contagion
 - 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of virulence
 - 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of infections
 - 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of deaths
 - 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential decline in recoveries,
- the Chief Health Officer MUST be charged with providing False and Misleading Information of both Omission and Commission:

I therefore request council assisting, to inquire of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton Specifically:

- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health evidence decision & advice he gave to Premier Andrews that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed
- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health communication between government agencies he gave to Premier Andrews and ALL government that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed.

And if no such epidemiological-health evidence decision & advice that a a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed or was communicated between government agencies, Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton must be recommended to face charges of providing False and Misleading Information.

Glenn Floyd

Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:

<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Info (OOG) <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>

Sent: Friday, 16 October 2020 4:46 PM

To: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Subject: OFFICIAL: Correspondence with the Office of the Governor

Dear Mr Floyd,

Thank you for taking the trouble to write to the Governor of Victoria, the Honourable Linda Dessau AC, regarding your concerns about the COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Inquiry. The Governor has asked me to thank you for writing and to reply on her behalf.

The exercise of the Governor's powers is governed by the Victorian Constitution and the conventions that apply to its operation, and to Victoria's democratic system of government. In our system of parliamentary democracy, the Government is responsible to the Parliament. Therefore, if you have a concern about the actions or policies of the Government in general or the Premier in particular, I suggest you raise the matter with your local Member of Parliament.

If you do not know who your local Member of Parliament is, you can find out by visiting the Parliament of Victoria website: www.parliament.vic.gov.au/about/electorates.

Thank you once again for writing to the Governor of Victoria.

Yours sincerely,
Taara Olorenshaw
Acting Official Secretary

Office of the Governor
Government House Melbourne - Victoria 3004 - Australia
T 03 9655 4211 | www.governor.vic.gov.au | Twitter: [@VicGovernor](https://twitter.com/VicGovernor) | Instagram: [@VicGovernor](https://www.instagram.com/VicGovernor) |
Watch: <https://governor.vic.gov.au/videos>

We acknowledge the traditional Aboriginal owners of country throughout Victoria and pay our respect to them, their culture and their Elders past, present and future.

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Notice: This email and any attachments may be confidential and may contain copyright or privileged material. You must not copy, disclose, distribute, store or otherwise use this material without permission. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the Information Privacy Act 2000 (Vic) and applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this email and any attachments. Unless otherwise stated, this email and any attachment do not represent government policy or constitute official government correspondence. The State does not accept liability in connection with computer viruses, data corruption, delay, interruption, unauthorised access or use.



OFFICIAL

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Wednesday, 14 October 2020 9:11 PM

To: Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry) <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; Info (OOG) <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>; Requests (OOG) <requests@govhouse.vic.gov.au>; Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au; alan@skynews.com.au

Cc: senator.abetz@aph.gov.au; senator.antic@aph.gov.au; senator.askew@aph.gov.au; senator.ayres@aph.gov.au; senator.bilyk@aph.gov.au; senator.birmingham@aph.gov.au; senator.bragg@aph.gov.au; senator.brockman@aph.gov.au; <David.Limbrick@parliament.vic.gov.au>; alan@skynews.com.au

Subject: Further exposé by political journalist Pete Credlin now puts damning material evidence before you for your immediate action. Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program Failures

TO: [Governor in Council the Hon. Linda Dessau AC.](#)

CC: The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.

Governor Linda Dessau, a new critical exposé today by political journalist Pete Credlin, now puts MOST damning material evidence before you, requiring your intervention.

<https://www.facebook.com/117871478407495/videos/1030505340708324/>

You as well as Inquiry Chair Jennifer Coate et al as much as ALL parties appearing as witnesses, are lawfully bound under the Terms Of Reference you signed into law and accordingly because of these revelations, you are lawfully bound to open an immediate full investigation.

It is clear the fundamental knowledge of facts of all aspects of decision making for private security Hotel Quarantine is fully known personally AND IN EMAILS AND TEXTS not yet presented, by Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions Secretary Simon Phemister, its Minister Martin Pakula and David Millward of Unified Security and others. This evidence has been ignored-overlooked and it MUST not be.

With these critical revelations, your obligations are now clear, you are duty bound to intervene or criminal perverting of the course of justice will occur in our inquiry and the responsibility for deaths of 800 Victorians will be deliberately concealed.

Glenn Floyd Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:

<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Saturday, 10 October 2020 2:11 PM

To: 'Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry)' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; 'info@govhouse.vic.gov.au' <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>; 'requests@govhouse.vic.gov.au' <requests@govhouse.vic.gov.au>; 'Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au' <Peta.Credlin@skynews.com.au>; 'alan@skynews.com.au' <alan@skynews.com.au>

Cc: 'senator.abetz@aph.gov.au' <senator.abetz@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.antic@aph.gov.au' <senator.antic@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.askew@aph.gov.au' <senator.askew@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.ayres@aph.gov.au' <senator.ayres@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.bilyk@aph.gov.au' <senator.bilyk@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.birmingham@aph.gov.au' <senator.birmingham@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.bragg@aph.gov.au' <senator.bragg@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.brockman@aph.gov.au' **Subject:** New exposé by political journalist Pete Credlin now puts damning material evidence before you for your immediate action. Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program Failures

TO: [Governor in Council the Hon. Linda Dessau AC.](#)

CC: The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.

Governor Linda Dessau, the new damning exposé by political journalist Pete Credlin now puts damning material evidence before you that requires your immediate investigation, see breaking evidence <https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=980613779106952>

With the clear breakdowns having occurred and now fully exposed by Peta Credlin, as a Victorian whom you must represent, I request your immediate intervention to confer with Jennifer Coate and to investigate that these matters arising are possible criminal breaches of the following:

- Both your charter and Inquiry Terms Of reference set and;
- The Rules of Evidence conferred under the VICTORIAN EVIDENCE ACT 2008 - SECT 4: Notes to s. 4 amended by Nos 67/2013 s. 649(Sch. 9 item 17), 22/2020 s. 18. NOTES 1. "persons or bodies required to apply the laws of evidence", the Inquiries Act and CRIMES ACT 1958 - SECT 314 (perjury) and common law governance obligations

And after such discussion with Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate; advise if either of the following two actions must be immediately taken:

A. The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate is to immediately recall ALL parties (cited under) and any others, and extend this inquiry to carry out its legitimate continuing and deeper investigatory obligations (over matter raised) as appointed to do by you as our Governor in Council, or;

B. Immediately confer with The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate on the matters raised, and seek immediate abandonment of this Inquiry for not having met its cited Terms Of Reference and statutory Inquiries Act and Evidence Act and CRIMES ACT 1958 - SECT 314 (perjury) and common law governance obligations as appointed; and reinstate the Inquiry again under another judicial appointee you find appropriate.

Glenn Floyd Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:
<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>
Sent: Friday, 9 October 2020 10:50 AM
To: 'info@govhouse.vic.gov.au' <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>; 'requests@govhouse.vic.gov.au' <requests@govhouse.vic.gov.au>
Cc: 'Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry)' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; 'alan@skynews.com.au' <alan@skynews.com.au>
Subject: Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program Failures

TO: [Governor in Council the Hon. Linda Dessau AC.](#)

CC: **The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.**

Governor Linda Dessau, you have been advised (under), I Glenn floyd senate candidate, have called on our judicial Board of Inquiry to investigate the most serious matters raised (under), now having

arisen; that prima facie; cast gravest doubts on our inquiry's lawful legitimacy to continue one second further.

As our governor, and charged with the most profoundly sombre responsibilities of your office, having appointed our Board of Inquiry under section 53(1) of the Inquiries Act 2014; you have judicial oversight obligations for the Inquiry's course and management under its Inquiries Act obligations you specifically conferred upon it in addition to your charter and Terms Of reference.

Considering the most serious matters raised in my communique (under, **Sent:** Thursday, 8 October 2020 10:27 AM) to The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO; ALL Victorians must have faith that the judicial process is carrying out all of its lawful obligations the Inquiries Act and Evidence Act and CRIMES ACT 1958 - SECT 314 (perjury); requires.

As it stands, because of the most serious and alarming matters raised; Victoria's citizens do not have faith that our inquiry is being conducted lawfully and according to its charter you have specified on our behalf.

The reasons are there is a prima facie appearance of bias of persons, leading to an apprehension of bias of the Inquiry, appearance of criminal perjury and appearance of criminal false and misleading information and appearance of criminal perverting of the course of justice occurring. This Inquiry appears potentially corrupted.

You as our Governor In Council have personal accountability above the State courts' hierarchy, under the Inquiry's charter and the Terms Of Reference you have personally specified, for the proper and lawful management of this particular inquiry; with respect to the inquiry's ongoing conduct and management. In addition, there are inter alia, Inquiries Act and Evidence Act and CRIMES ACT 1958 - SECT 314 (perjury) governance obligations on the Inquiry.

With the clear breakdowns having occurred in the four points I have raised to The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate (under), I request your immediate intervention to confer with Jennifer Coate and to investigate that these matters arising are possible criminal breaches of the following:

- Both your charter and Terms Of reference set and;
- The Rules of Evidence conferred under the VICTORIAN EVIDENCE ACT 2008 - SECT 4: Notes to s. 4 amended by Nos 67/2013 s. 649(Sch. 9 item 17), 22/2020 s. 18. NOTES 1. "persons or bodies required to apply the laws of evidence", the Inquiries Act and CRIMES ACT 1958 - SECT 314 (perjury) governance obligations

And after such discussion with Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate; advise if either of the following two actions must be immediately taken:

A. The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate is to immediately recall ALL parties (cited under) and any others, and extend this inquiry to carry out its legitimate continuing and deeper investigatory obligations (over matter raised) as appointed to do by you as our Governor in Council, or;

B. Immediately confer with The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate on the matters raised, and seek immediate abandonment of this Inquiry for not having met its cited Terms Of Reference and statutory Inquiries Act and Evidence Act and CRIMES ACT 1958 - SECT 314 (perjury) and common law governance obligations as appointed; and reinstate the Inquiry again under another judicial appointee you find appropriate.

Glenn Floyd Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:
<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Thursday, 8 October 2020 10:27 AM

To: 'Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry)' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>; 'info@govhouse.vic.gov.au' <info@govhouse.vic.gov.au>; 'requests@govhouse.vic.gov.au' <requests@govhouse.vic.gov.au>

Cc: 'senator.abetz@aph.gov.au' <senator.abetz@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.antic@aph.gov.au' <senator.antic@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.askew@aph.gov.au' <senator.askew@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.ayres@aph.gov.au' <senator.ayres@aph.gov.au>;

Subject: RE: THE PRIMA FACIE, SEEMING UNLAWFUL 'APPREHENSION OF BIAS

TO: The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.

CC: [Governor in Council the Hon. Linda Dessau AC.](#)

I Glenn floyd senate candidate, call on your judicial Board of Inquiry to investigate the following most serious matters now having arisen that prima facie; now cast grave doubts on our inquiry's legitimacy to continue:

1. The changing of testimony by Victoria's Emergency Management Commissioner Andrew Crisp to reflect he did not brief Police Minister Lisa Neville prior to the quarantine program; this may reflect criminal perjury.
2. Senior counsel Assisting Tony Neal potentially to be investigated & potentially disqualified for the potential Appearance of Bias (shown under); and the prima facie, Inquiry's seeming unlawful 'apprehension of bias'!
3. Council Assisting determining former Police Commissioner Graham Ashton ONLY revealed outgoing phone-text messages & FAILED to DISCOVER incoming phone-text messages that have vital MATERIAL facts concealed

4. Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's decisions and actions and their relating to COVID-19 Quarantine Containment, must be examined as follows: RE: TERMS OF REFERENCE : MY QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED ARE:

- decisions and actions of government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health evidence-backed' decision & advice to Premier Andrews office that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?
- communication between government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health communication between Premier Andrews office and all government agencies that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?

In addition to the four serious points raised above, these matters now potentially reveal possible criminal breaches of Rules of Evidence conferred under the VICTORIAN EVIDENCE ACT 2008 - SECT 4: Notes to s. 4 amended by Nos 67/2013 s. 649(Sch. 9 item 17), 22/2020 s. 18. NOTES 1. "persons or bodies required to apply the laws of evidence".

For the above reasons, serious doubt has now arisen on this inquiry's legitimacy, witnesses potential criminal acts of perjury, possible failure of Counsel Assisting to conduct the inquiry with the independence required to remove doubt of predetermined bias, the robustness of the Discovery process not honoured as compelled; and the potential of a criminal Perverting of the course of justice.

Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate, these are PROFOUNDLY serious matters that have now arisen and as you have been appointed as the Board of Inquiry by The Governor in Council, under section 53(1) of the Inquiries Act 2014 ; it is incumbent upon you to consider and take either of the following urgent actions:

A. Immediately recall ALL parties cited above and extend this inquiry to carry out its legitimate continuing and deeper investigatory obligations (over matter raise above) as appointed to do by the Governor in Council, or;

B. Immediately confer with the Governor in Council on the matters raised and abandon this Inquiry for not having met its statutory Inquiries Act and common law obligations as appointed; and reinstate the Inquiry again.

Glenn Floyd Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:
<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Tuesday, 29 September 2020 10:43 PM

To: 'Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry)' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>

Cc: 'senator.abetz@aph.gov.au' <senator.abetz@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.antic@aph.gov.au'

<senator.antic@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.askew@aph.gov.au' <senator.askew@aph.gov.au>;
'senator.ayres@aph.gov.au' <senator.ayres@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.bilyk@aph.gov.au'
<senator.bilyk@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.birmingham@aph.gov.au'
<senator.birmingham@aph.gov.au>; 'senator.bragg@aph.gov.au' <senator.bragg@aph.gov.au>;
'senator.brockman@aph.gov.au'

Subject: THE PRIMA FACIE, SEEMING UNLAWFUL 'APPREHENSION OF BIAS

I GLENN FLOYD SENATE CANDIDATE, CALL ON SENIOR COUNSEL ASSISTING TONY NEAL TO BE INVESTIGATED & POTENTIALLY DISQUALIFIED FOR THE APPEARANCE OF BIAS & THIS INQUIRY ABANDONED, BASED UPON THE PRIMA FACIE, SEEMING UNLAWFUL 'APPREHENSION OF BIAS'!

Quarantine Inquiry Senior Counsel Tony Neal submits 'ACCORDING TO THE MELBOURNE AGE REPORT' QUOTE: "there's no evidence of bad faith or corruption" in Victoria's governance. On the contrary, everyone had done their best"

ENDQUOTE! <https://www.theage.com.au/politics/victoria/cascade-of-errors-follow-hotel-quarantine-s-original-sin-20200928-p55zxcg.html>

IF THIS IS CORRECT, A SENIOR COUNSEL 'CANNOT' MAKE A STATEMENT OF SUCH PROFOUND SOLEMNITY 'BEFORE' THE INQUIRY'S COMPLETION & FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS ARE MADE!!

THE FINDINGS MAY VERY WELL SHOW 'EVIDENCE' OF BAD FAITH, CORRUPTION, CRIMINAL PERJURY, CRIMINAL MISFEASANCE, CRIMINAL ACTS OF PROVIDING FALSE & MISLEADING INFORMATION (CRIMINAL CODE ACT 137.1), RECKLESS CONDUCT ENDANGERING LIFE CAUSING DEATH AND INJURY (CRIMES ACT 1958 VIC), CRIMINAL INDUSTRIAL MANSLAUGHTER (OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH & SAFETY ACT VIC) 2004, etc. etc.!

THIS TONY NEAL STATEMENT NOW RAISES THE POTENTIAL CASE OF UNLAWFUL 'APPREHENSION OF BIAS' THAT HAS 'DESTROYED' THE LEGITIMACY AND INDEPENDENCE OF THIS INQUIRY!

An Inquiry Member expressing such a statement shows they might not be impartial and as a result may not deal with the matter in a fair and balanced way.

TESTS FOR APPREHENSION OF BIAS:

- if an Inquiry Member has published statements or acted in a way that gives rise to a reasonable apprehension of prejudice
- if an Inquiry Member has some direct or indirect interest in the case, financial or otherwise
- if an Inquiry Member has some direct or indirect relationship, experience or contact with anyone involved in the case, and

- if an Inquiry Member has some knowledge of extraneous information, which cannot be used in the case, but would be seen as detrimental.[5]

While it is important that justice must be seen to be done, it is of equal importance that Inquiry Members discharge their duty to hear the evidence and decide the matter. This means that they should not accept the suggestion of apprehended bias too readily.

Expression of a view or prejudgment

In deciding whether an Inquiry Member should be disqualified for the appearance of bias, the Inquiry will consider whether a reasonable and fair minded person might anticipate that the Inquiry Member might approach the matter with a partial or prejudiced mind.

The question is not whether the decision-maker's mind was blank, but whether their mind was open to persuasion.

The expression of a provisional view on a particular issue, OR WARNING PARTIES OF THE OUTCOME OF A PROVISIONAL VIEW, is usually entirely consistent with procedural fairness.

Glenn Floyd Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:
<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry) <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>

Sent: Monday, 28 September 2020 12:34 PM

To: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Subject: RE: OFFICIAL: RE: The decisions and actions of: Victorian Government Agencies: Specifically what was Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's decision & OFFICIAL advice to Premier Andrews that evidence supports that BOTH catastrophic risk and indeed a pandemic

Dear Glenn

Thank you for your further email and comments.

We advise that the Inquiry is running on a very tight timetable, and that evidence was closed on September 25.

The Inquiry is therefore unable to recall Professor Sutton to pose any further questions to him.

Yours sincerely

Ruth Baker

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

OFFICIAL

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Friday, 25 September 2020 9:04 PM

To: Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry) <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>

Subject: RE: OFFICIAL: RE: The decisions and actions of: Victorian Government Agencies: Specifically what was Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's decision & OFFICIAL advice to Premier Andrews that evidence supports that BOTH catastrophic risk and indeed a pandemic

Further to my submission (under, **Sent:** Friday, 25 September 2020 11:02 AM), to recall Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton and ask the questions raised having material bearing on a potential for perversion of the course of justice as outlined; I advise the following specific Terms Of Reference in your '**Background**' you provide, shown on your website, this clearly establishes your remit for the inquiry's obligation to ask the questions posed in my submission:

'Background': Having regard to the global COVID-19 pandemic and the following:

e. Recent epidemiological material collected up to 15 July 2020 in relation to travellers quarantined as part of the Quarantine Program, potentially linking subsequent increases in the spreading of the COVID-19 virus from

such quarantined travellers through to the broader Victorian community;

f. The Government's objective of effectively identifying, managing and containing the spread of COVID-19 from returned overseas travellers in the Quarantine Program into the community (COVID-19 Quarantine

Containment); and

g. Subsequent efforts to diagnose and treat, and to contain case numbers and the community transmission of COVID-19, as a result of the Quarantine Program.

Accordingly, your '**Background**' you highlight (above), this succinctly and clearly covers matters I have submitted and raised that are solely under the responsibility of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton, as listed.

Furthermore, this specific requirement I submit and raise, falls directly under:

Terms of Reference 1. The decisions and actions of Victorian government agencies, hotel operators and Private Service Providers, including their staff/contractors and any other relevant personnel involved in the Quarantine

Program (each Relevant Personnel), relating to COVID-19 Quarantine Containment.

Accordingly, Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's decisions and actions and their relating to COVID-19 Quarantine Containment, must be examined as follows:

RE: TERMS OF REFERENCE : MY QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED ARE:

- decisions and actions of government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health evidence-backed' decision & advice to Premier Andrews office that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?
- communication between government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health communication between Premier Andrews office and all government agencies that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?

Therefore, I respectfully request a full recall of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton to answer these specific critical questions (I have submitted under) that you cite in your '**Background**' that you highlight; that have not yet been asked and which must be asked to prevent a perverting of the course of justice.

Perverting the course of justice is an offence consisting of any conduct done in order to prevent justice being served upon the offender or upon another person. It is a serious offence that carries a maximum penalty of 25 years imprisonment.

Further, and at the risk of greater deliberate perverting of the course of justice, today's inquiry questioning demonstrates now, that the following government employees (impossibly) deny ANY knowledge of ordering the Victorian hotel quarantine program:

- Premier Daniel Andrews
- Emergency Management Commissioner Andrew Crisp
- Former Chief Commissioner of Police Graham Ashton
- Chief Commissioner of Police Shane Patton
- Police Minister Lisa Neville
- Health Minister Mikakos
- Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton
- Deputy Chief Health Officer Annaliese van Diemen

This simply cannot be so, somebody or multiple persons are deliberately perjuring themselves under oath, a criminal offence.

As this is a legal judicial inquiry formally established under section 53(1) of the Inquiries Act of parliament 2014, this false testimony given under oath is also demonstrably blatant criminal contempt for the inquiry's legitimacy, the rule of law, judicial inquiry, jurisprudence itself, sworn service to Oath of Office and to our parliament; and it is clearly a deliberate attempt of perverting of the course of justice.

No public figure of any public office in Australian history since Federation in 1901 has derided any public inquiry and their own public office and our parliament to this extent.

This contempt demonstrates unconscionable perjury in sworn testimony, the criminal offence of deliberately providing false information under oath, about an important matter in a legal hearing; the criminal act of lying under oath.

This most serious betrayal of oath of office is beyond farce and smacks of sinister Macbeth guilt, where, "I am in blood stepped in so far, that should I wade no more, returning were as tedious as to go further".

In respect of all matters raised in my submission, I therefore request council assisting, to recall and inquire of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton Specifically:

- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health evidence decision & advice he gave to Premier Andrews that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed
- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health communication between government agencies he gave to Premier Andrews and ALL government that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed.

And if no such epidemiological-health evidence decision & advice that a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed or was communicated between government agencies, Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton must be recommended to face charges of providing False and Misleading Information.

Glenn Floyd

Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:
<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Friday, 25 September 2020 11:02 AM

To: 'Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry)' <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>

Subject: RE: OFFICIAL: RE: The decisions and actions of: Victorian Government Agencies: Specifically what was Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's decision & OFFICIAL advice to Premier Andrews that evidence supports that BOTH catastrophic risk and indeed a pandemic

I understand Brett Sutton was examined by council; however these matters I now raise were not asked; please recall Brett Sutton and ask the questions raised as they have material bearing on perversion of justice as outlined.

Best regards

Glenn Floyd

From: Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry) <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>

Sent: Friday, 25 September 2020 10:41 AM

To: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Subject: OFFICIAL: RE: The decisions and actions of: Victorian Government Agencies: Specifically what was Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's decision & OFFICIAL advice to Premier Andrews that evidence supports that BOTH catastrophic risk and indeed a pandemic ...

Dear Glenn

Your approach to the COVID-19 Quarantine Inquiry

Thank you for your email dated 25 September.

We appreciate you taking the time to write to us and acknowledge receipt of your information.

We note that Professor Sutton was examined by Counsel Assisting the Board on 16 September, and we refer you to the transcript of the hearing on that day.

Yours sincerely

Ruth Baker

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

OFFICIAL

From: Glenn Floyd <floydaubrey@bigpond.com>

Sent: Friday, 25 September 2020 12:21 AM

To: Contact Quarantine Inquiry (Quarantine Inquiry) <contact@quarantineinquiry.vic.gov.au>

Cc: senator.abetz@aph.gov.au; senator.antic@aph.gov.au; senator.askew@aph.gov.au; senator.ayres@aph.gov.au; senator.bilyk@aph.gov.au; senator.birmingham@aph.gov.au; senator.bragg@aph.gov.au; senator.brockman@aph.gov.au; senator.carol.brown@aph.gov.au; senator.canavan@aph.gov.au; senator.carr@aph.gov.au; senator.cash@aph.gov.au; senator.chandler@aph.gov.au; senator.chisholm@aph.gov.au; senator.ciccione@aph.gov.au; senator.colbeck@aph.gov.au;

Subject: The decisions and actions of: Victorian Government Agencies: Specifically what was Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's decision & OFFICIAL advice to Premier Andrews that evidence supports that BOTH catastrophic risk and indeed a pandemic exists.

TO: The Honourable Justice Jennifer Coate AO Board of Inquiry COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program.

I submit the following questions to be asked of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton et al, by council assisting, and for them to be fully addressed by your inquiry as follows:

RE: TERMS OF REFERENCE : MY QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED ARE:

- decisions and actions of government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health evidence-backed' decision & advice to Premier Andrews office that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?
- communication between government agencies – WHAT WAS the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton's exact epidemiological-health communication between Premier Andrews office and all government agencies that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic exists?

The entire government response to this alleged pandemic (including quarantine), is medically and legally; premised upon BOTH a catastrophic-risk factually existing, and indeed, a pandemic factually existing, with hard evidence supporting this health-epidemiology premise.

If 'neither' catastrophic risk is/was existing, and/or indeed, a pandemic is/was factually existing, ALL government responses, (including quarantine); are ultra vires destructive government overreach.

Because the quarantine (and all) response impacts (not the virus impacts), are clearly so catastrophic; the fact of whether a catastrophic-risk was/is existing, and indeed, a pandemic was/is existing; is the sole question of fundamental relevance to quarantine-collapse and ALL government responses.

This fact of whether catastrophic-risk and indeed, a pandemic existed, MUST be asked by your inquiry and answered by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton, so as the course of justice cannot be perverted.

Such a premise (of catastrophic-risk and a pandemic existing; or NOT existing) MUST be determined in fact, and is the SOLE province and responsibility of, the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton. It is a medical-epidemiological health decision only he has expertise in, and responsibility for; premier Daniel Andrews has neither.

The 'RESPONSE' decisions of premier Andrews, or the scope or impact of those decisions are not as critically material as the fundamental fact of catastrophic risk or pandemic existence; such 'response' decisions are always subjective to the authority of the position of premier; and being subjective; are moot.

However, of critical public interest to quarantine need, management, adequacy (and other responses), is the OFFICIAL ASESMENT OF RISK and PANDEMIC SEVERITY definition decisions. And the communications of those decisions, by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton, to the Premier and by the CHO to and between all government agencies.

Specifically, his assessment decision & advice to Premier Andrews and ALL government agencies; that BOTH a catastrophic risk exists, and indeed, a pandemic factually exists.

If the globally acknowledged EVIDENCE criteria for the existence of epidemiological-health catastrophic-risk existence, and/or indeed, a pandemic existence are not met; ALL agencies have been given False and Misleading Information by the Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton.

THEREFORE A SUBSTANTIVE CASE EXISTS THAT THE CHIEF HEALTH OFFICER IS GUILTY OF CRIMINAL BREACHES OF OUR CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995, OF ISSUING FALSE & MISLEADING INFORMATION:

Criminal Code Act 1995 Division 137—False or misleading information or documents:

Penalty: Imprisonment for 12 months <https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017C00235>

137.1 False or misleading information

(1) A person commits an offence if:

(a) the person gives information to another person; and

(b) the person does so knowing that the information:

(i) is false or misleading; or

(ii) omits any matter or thing without which the information is misleading; and...

On ALL available international evidence to date, there was and still is, no evidence of ANY catastrophic-risk existing, and/or indeed, of no pandemic existing.

The SOLE globally acknowledged fundamental criteria of ANY/ALL epidemiological-health catastrophic-risk existing, and/or indeed, a pandemic existing, must be provided ONLY by the Chief Health Officer and are as follows:

'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale existence of, or exponential growth of catastrophic-risk, and indeed, a pandemic in ALL categories of the following,

- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale actual pathogen existence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of pathogens
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of contagion
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of virulence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of infections
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of deaths
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential decline in recoveries

This is true for ANY epidemiological-health risk and/or pandemic now, and particularly truer in January-March 2020, when this 'alleged' risk and pandemic was merely inferred, AND THEN AND NOW THERE WAS/IS:

- no mass-pathogen existing,
- no exponential growth of mass-contagions,
- no exponential growth of mass-virulence,
- no exponential growth of mass-infections,
- no exponential growth of mass-deaths,

- no exponential mass-decline in recoveries recorded at all.

Both then AND NOW, ALL globally acknowledged epidemiological criteria for catastrophic-risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic existing have never been met; and are still not met.

Furthermore, three globally acknowledged Gold Standard scientific tests needed to establish that Covid-19 actually exists or it is at a pandemic level; demonstrably fail, they are:

1. OUR [Federal Health Department COVID-19 'Comorbidities' Reports \(page 14\)](#) clearly states that TOTAL COVID-19 DEATHS are 'only' 9%. This means the 29 August 2020 COVID-19 deaths are 'not' 600; BUT ONLY 54 !! Only 54 deaths in a population of 25 million CANNOT be certified as a pandemic. THIS IS AN ATOM-SIZED 0.0002% or TWO TEN-THOUSANDTHS OF 1%.

2. The acknowledged global Gold Standard science-test for 'ALL' disease pathogens is Koch's Postulates Test, and it CANNOT detect Covid at all !
https://ruqqus.com/post/1zr2/covid-fails-kochs-postulatesfbclid=IwAR2WO2mvB1PtL_7weLZEjXOFd20PGFuEJJayt5mD2I_oXwys_Almck_tS2k. The SARS-CoV-2 virion IS detected by Koch's Postulates Test, however, Covid-19 CANNOT BE DETECTED BY KOCH'S POSTULATES TEST & THIS TEST SCIENTIFICALLY PROVES COVID-19 DOES NOT EXIST!

3. The PCR Test (Polymerase Chain Reaction) test is NOT licensed to be used for Infectious Diseases testing at all and it's Nobel Prize inventor Kary Mullis states it should NOT be used for testing for Infectious Diseases because it always shows 98% FALSE RESULTS!! <https://bpa-pathology.com/covid19-pcr-tests-are-scientifically-meaningless/?fbclid=IwAR0bcPrWkGQoKqVXY8eogk92r9LWJIn8NmjNdOEFdy3A-iQvchKZpY2G6lo>. This demonstrably proves Covid-19 CANNOT be scientifically shown to exist as an infection IN ANYBODY.

Further evidence of non-existence of catastrophic-risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic not existing are that the national Black Lives Matter protests where 97,000 Australians standing shoulder to shoulder revealed NO INFECTION SPIKE AND NO DEATHS!

Furthermore, the total hotel quarantined people in Victoria AND the 40 contract security staff who contracted Covid-19 hired to control them; yielded NO DEATHS at all!

Such a CONCENTRATED Covid-19 risk exposure, yielding NO DEATHS proved beyond ANY DOUBT, there is no catastrophic risk, no virulence, no deaths, no decline in recovery and no pandemic.

There are ONLY two Australian government cited bases for an 'alleged' catastrophic risk existing, and indeed, a pandemic existing; both are merely opinion; neither are evidence based:

1. Chief Health Officer's merely assert "In March 2020 the World Health Organisation (W.H.O.) declared COVID-19 a pandemic". This is irrelevant; this is an EXTERNAL to Australia statement only, it is merely a 'declaration' of pandemic-existence, not based on any evidence or epidemiological impacts at all and immaterial in fact and at law.

2. The [Biosecurity \(Human Biosecurity Emergency\) \(Human Coronavirus with Pandemic Potential\) Declaration 2020](#). This unequivocally states: QUOTE: "with **Pandemic Potential**": ENDQUOTE. This is NOT a declaration of a pandemic; it is merely citing a Human Coronavirus with Pandemic '**potential**' exists; which on ALL evidence shown on

every globally acknowledged epidemiological-health criteria measure (above); DID NOT arise!

In summary:

The Chief Health Officer MUST be asked to provide to your inquiry:

- Precisely what was the EXACT evidence decision & advice he gave to Premier Andrews that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed
- Precisely what was the EXACT communication between government agencies he gave to Premier Andrews and ALL government that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed

If the Chief Medical Officer's answers provide no hard evidence of his decisions and advice and communication to Department of Premier and to and between government agencies in the following acknowledged epidemiological-health categories;

'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale existence of, or exponential growth of catastrophic-risk, and indeed, a pandemic in ALL categories of the following,

- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale actual pathogen existence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of pathogens
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of contagion
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of virulence
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of infections
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of deaths
- 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential decline in recoveries,

- the Chief Health Officer MUST be charged with providing False and Misleading Information of both Omission and Commission:

I therefore request council assisting, to inquire of Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton Specifically:

- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health evidence decision & advice he gave to Premier Andrews that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed
- Precisely what was the EXACT epidemiological-health communication between government agencies he gave to Premier Andrews and ALL government that BOTH a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed.

And if no such epidemiological-health evidence decision & advice that a a catastrophic risk existed, and indeed, a pandemic existed or was communicated between government agencies, Chief Health Officer Brett Sutton must be recommended to face charges of providing False and Misleading Information.

Glenn Floyd

Senate Candidate 2022

U.N. Advocate-Reporter <http://www.glennfloyd.org/UN.pdf>

**Official 22 September 2020 Australian Federal Police Report:
<http://www.vaxrisk.org/AFP.pdf>**

CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER FRAUD <http://www.vaxrisk.org/COMORBIDFACTS.pdf>